Peter G. Wilson,[†] Jonathan M. Percy,^{*,†} Joanna M. Redmond,[‡] and Adam W. McCarter[†]

† WestCHEM Department of Pure and Applied [Ch](#page-8-0)emistry, University of Strathclyde, Thomas Graham Building, 295 Cathedral Street, Glasgow G1 1XL, U.K.

‡ Respiratory TA, GlaxoSmithKline Medicines Research Centre, Gunnels Wood Road, Stevenage SG1 2NY, U.K.

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-8-0)STRACT: [A recently d](#page-8-0)eveloped method for the nearambient generation of difluorovinylzinc reagents has facilitated the preparation of $1-(N,N$ -diethylcarbamoyloxy)-2,2-difluoro- 1 -iodoethene and $2,2$ -difluoro-1-iodo-1- $(2'$ methoxyethoxymethoxy)ethene. The utility of these reagents has been investigated in Suzuki−Miyaura couplings with a range of potassium trifluoroborate coupling partners, with the

scope of successful couplings proving wide. Deiodinated species appeared as significant side products, but a solvent change from i-PrOH to t-BuOH suppressed the pathway to these species and improved coupling yields.

ENTRODUCTION

Modern synthetic organic chemistry is subject to an expanding range of pressures which constrain the starting materials it can use and the range of reaction conditions it can deploy. While the use of low-temperature conditions can deliver high selectivity, cryogenic methods are expensive when carried out on a large scale, and reactions which avoid their use are often preferred. It follows that there is considerable interest in carrying out many of the important reactions of synthetic organic chemistry at, or close to, ambient temperatures.

The synthesis of selectively difluorinated molecules is an area of high current activity; recent achievements include new methods for the difluoromethylation of arenes¹ and hetero- \arenes^2 and novel difluoroenolate chemistry described by Colby et al. 3 w[h](#page-9-0)ich installs a difluoromethylene unit. There are many strate[gie](#page-9-0)s for the synthesis of difluorinated molecules from buil[din](#page-9-0)g blocks, small commercial molecules which already contain the required fluorine atoms.⁴ Trifluoroethanol 1a is an extremely versatile starting material for the synthesis of a wide range of selectively fluorinated mol[ec](#page-9-0)ules. Protection, followed by dehydrofluorination/metalation begins to transform this bulk chemical into valuable organometallic intermediates.^{5−9} In our hands, this approach has been exploited in coupling^{10−12} and cycloaddition<sup>13−15<[/](#page-9-0)sup> reactions, and rearrangement/r[in](#page-9-0)gclosing metathesis sequences^{16−22} (Scheme 1) to a[ff](#page-9-0)o[rd](#page-9-0) analogues of a r[ange](#page-9-0) of saccharide, cyclitol, and azasugar natural products including 2−5[.](#page-9-0)

Our work relied on the use of low reaction temperatures, typically −78 °C, though in the case of 1d, a reaction temperature of -100 °C was required.¹⁶ In some cases, organotin chemistry was used to deliver these difluoroalkenol units into coupling reactions, so we sa[w](#page-9-0) the methodology

utilizing trifluoroborate 6 published by Katz and co-workers 23 as extremely important and welcome (Figure 1).

Trifluoroborate 6 was prepared and used in Suzuki−Miyaura couplings; 23 although a low-temperature procedure was still required to prepare 6, the avoidance of tin reagents was a significan[t](#page-9-0) development. We have recently developed a sequence in which dehydrofluorination/metalation chemistry of 1b and 1c can be carried out at close to ambient temperature

Received: June 6, 2012 Published: July 9, 2012

The Journal of Organic Chemistry Featured Article and The Journal of Organic Chemistry Featured Article

and followed by a Negishi coupling reaction (Scheme 2).24[−]²⁶ We were able to use the intermediate organozinc reagents in Negishi coupling reactions to prepare a range of difluori[na](#page-9-0)t[ed](#page-9-0) alkene products, in high yields in some cases.

Scheme 2. Generation and Negishi Coupling of Vinylzinc Reagent 7

However, there were limitations to Negishi and Suzuki− Miyaura methods, particularly with π -electron-deficient aryl bromides. In some cases, HF addition was observed after product formation, while in other cases coupling was unsuccessful. We sought a method that would avoid lowtemperature conditions entirely, tolerate a wider range of coupling partners, and use storable fluorinated intermediates. In this paper, we wish to report complementary Suzuki−Miyaura reactions of iodides 8a and 8b, which can be prepared at icebath temperature (Scheme 3).

Scheme 3. Ice-Bath Temperature Synthesis of Iodides 8a and 8b

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We prepared 5 g batches of known²⁷ 8b by this method; 2.5 equiv of LDA was added dropwise to a solution of 1c and ZnCl₂ in THF at 0 $^{\circ}$ C to afford zin[c s](#page-9-0)pecies 7. A solution of I₂ in THF was then added via syringe to quench vinylzinc 7; after workup, the crude iodide was purified by filtration through a plug of silica followed by Kugelrohr distillation to afford iodide 8b in 71% yield. The preparation of iodide 8a was less efficient, with 50% the highest yield obtained (for a 3 g batch). The same procedure was observed, but a short contact time between the zinc reagent and iodine was essential for this yield; times of 1 h and longer significantly reduced the yield of iodide 8a (cf. 25%). Addition of DMPU cosolvent was necessary for a respectable yield of 8a; the urea cosolvent ensures full conversion of $1b$ to the organozinc intermediate.²⁴ Both iodides were found to be stable after purification and could be stored under N_2 in the refrigerator without decompo[sit](#page-9-0)ion (3) months). Discoloration of the materials was observed when they were stored at room temperature.

We chose potassium trifluoroborate coupling partners to probe the scope of our iodide species in Suzuki−Miyaura coupling because of the reported superior stability and efficacy of these reagents over the more traditional boronic acids, exemplified by the wide range of couplings performed by Molander and co-workers.28−³² These stable salts were generated in moderate to excellent (51−99%) yields from the boronic acids following litera[tu](#page-9-0)r[e p](#page-9-0)rocedures (Figure 2). $33,34$

The coupling conditions were based initially on those reported by Molander³¹ with a modest excess of boron reagent (1.2 equivalents), $(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2\text{PdCl}_2$ (2 mol %) precatalyst, and Cs_2CO_3 in a toluen[e/w](#page-9-0)ater mixture (2.7:1 v/v); the more stable Pd(II) catalyst was found to be as effective as $Pd(PPh_3)_4$. The excess reagent was required to convert the iodides 8a and 8b completely due to difficulty of separating unreacted iodide from the products (Scheme 4).

Scheme 4. Suzuki−Miyaura Coupling of Iodides 8a and 8b

Full conversion of the iodide occurred over 2 h at 90 °C with a number of electron-rich potassium aryltrifluoroborates, producing products in good to excellent yields (Table 1; entries 2, 12, and 14). Not all borates coupled successfully under these conditions, with solubility appearing to be limiti[ng](#page-2-0) in some cases, prompting a search for more general conditions. To improve borate solubility, more polar solvents were investigated; alcohols were chosen as relatively sustainable candidates.³⁵ The 3-nitrophenyl borate 9n was chosen to optimize the reaction conditions as products 10an and 10bn are difficul[t c](#page-9-0)ompounds to generate in good yield (as we^{24} and others^{23,26} have found in previous work). Borate $9n$, iodide $8b$, Cs_2CO_3 (3 equiv), an[d](#page-9-0) $(Ph_3P)_2PdCl_2$ (2 mol %) dissolved fully in an [alco](#page-9-0)hol (n-PrOH, i-PrOH, or t -BuOH)/water (2.7:1) mixture at 90 °C. Iodide 8b was consumed completely in all alcoholic solvent systems, but differing ratios of side products were observed in each case. When n-PrOH was used, addition, addition/elimination, and reduced species 11, 12, and 13 were generated in a 4:1:10 ratio (Figure 3). Changing to i-PrOH removed addition and addition/elimination products 11 and 12, but 13 was now the major produ[ct.](#page-2-0) Only a small reduction in the amount of 13 produced was observed when the i-PrOH was degassed by using the freeze−pump−thaw method.

Table 1. Scope of Suzuki−Miyaura Coupling of 8a and 8b with Potassium Trifluoroborate Salts*

Entry	Method	Time(h)	Product and Yield		Entry	Method	Time(h)	Product and Yield	
$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	18	OX	10aa, $X =$ MEM: 87%	21	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	18	OX .CN	$10aI, X =$ MEM: 55%
$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	OMe	10ba, $X =$ DEC: 80%	22	$\mathbf{1}$	$\boldsymbol{2}$		10bl, $X =$ DEC: 61%
3	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	18	OX OMe	$10ab, X =$ MEM: 95%	23	\overline{c}	$\overline{2}$	OX O	10am, $X =$ MEM: 67%
4	$\sqrt{2}$	$\overline{2}$		$10bb, X =$ DEC: 59%	24	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{2}$		$10bm, X =$ DEC: 90%
5	$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{2}$	OX OMe	10ac, $X =$ MEM: 93%	25	3	4	ОΧ NO ₂	$10an, X =$ MEM: 61%
6	\overline{c}	\overline{c}		10bc, $X =$ DEC: 59%	26	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	$\overline{\mathcal{L}}$		$10bn, X =$ DEC: 75%
τ	3	18	ОX	10ad, $X =$ MEM: 62%	27	3	18	OX	$10a_0$, $X =$ MEM: 54%
8	$\mathbf{1}$	$\sqrt{2}$	SMe	10bd, $X =$ DEC: 93%	28	$\boldsymbol{2}$	$\sqrt{3}$		$10bo, X =$ DEC: 61%
9	3	18	ΟХ	10ae, $X =$ MEM: 95%	29	3	18	OX	$10ap, X =$ MEM: 32%
10	$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{2}$		10be, \overline{X} = DEC: 93%	30	$\overline{2}$	$\boldsymbol{2}$		$10bp, X =$ DEC: 44%
11	3	18	ох	10af, $X =$ MEM: 99%	31	$\overline{3}$	18	ОΧ	$10aq, X =$ MEM: 32%
12	$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{2}$	tBu	10bf, $X =$ DEC: 66%	32	$\mathbf{3}$	3	Br	$10bq, X =$ DEC: 52%
13	$\mathbf{1}$	$\sqrt{2}$	ОX	$10ag, X =$ MEM: 72%	33		18	OX	10br, $X =$
14	$\mathbf{1}$	$\boldsymbol{2}$		$10bg, X =$ DEC: 88%		3		OMe	DEC: 70%
15	$\mathbf{1}$	$18\,$	OX	10ah, $X =$ MEM: 88%	34	\mathfrak{Z}	18		10as, $X =$ MEM: 57%
16	$\mathbf{1}$	18		10bh. $X =$ DEC: 88%	35	$\mathbf{1}$	$\boldsymbol{2}$		$10bs, X =$ DEC: 57%
17	3	18	ОΧ	10aj, $X =$ MEM: 78%	36	3	18	ОΧ	10at, $X =$ MEM: 27% ^a
18	$\sqrt{2}$	$\sqrt{2}$		$10bj, X =$ DEC: 60%	37	\mathfrak{Z}	18		$10bt, X =$ DEC: 30% ^b
19	3	18	ОΧ	10ak, $X =$ MEM: 59%					
20	$\mathbf{1}$	18	CΝ	10bk , $X =$ DEC: 62%					

*Method 1: $(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2\text{PdCl}_2$ (2 mol %), Cs_2CO_3 (3 equiv), potassium trifluoroborate (1.2 equiv), toluene/H₂O (2.7:1), 90 °C. Method 2: (Ph₃P)₂PdCl₂ (2 mol %), Cs₂CO₃ (3 equiv), potassium trifluoroborate (1.2 equiv), *i*-PrOH/H₂O (freeze−pump−thaw) (2.7:1) 90 °C. Method 3: (Ph₃P)₂PdCl₂ (2 mol %), Cs₂CO₃ (3 equiv), peaksian dimaster (and equiv), recent rige (helds printly divide) or distance of
(Ph₃P)₂PdCl₂ (2 mol %), Cs₂CO₃ (3 equiv), potassium trifluoroborate (1.2 equiv

Deiodinated 13 could arise from reductive elimination from a palladium hydride complex such as 20, itself produced from β hydride elimination of palladium alkoxide 19 (Scheme 5). We show key intermediate 15 which partitions between the Suzuki−Miyaura coupling pathway and the reductive p[at](#page-3-0)hway. In the former, iodide is displaced by generic boronic acid 16, formed in situ from the trifluoroborate³⁶ before transmetalation in a monophosphine cycle.³⁷ In the latter, alcohol coordination is [f](#page-9-0)ollowed by elimination³⁸ of HI followed by β -hydride elimination³⁹ to form an [hyd](#page-9-0)ridopalladium complex; reductive elimination then releases 13[. S](#page-9-0)imilar reactions were reported by Helquist [dur](#page-9-0)ing the palladium-catalyzed dehalogenation of arenes⁴⁰ and Buchwald and co-workers⁴¹ in palladium-catalyzed ether formation. Suzuki couplings carried out in solvents which lack [pro](#page-9-0)tons α -to oxygen should [the](#page-9-0)refore be free from formation of 13, with t-BuOH the obvious choice of solvent.

On substitution of i-PrOH with t-BuOH, the coupling of nitroborate 9n now proceeded without formation of 13.

Scheme 5. Proposed Catalytic Cycle for Generation of 13

However, it was necessary to keep the reaction time as short as possible as HF addition product 14 was produced (due to the strong electron-withdrawing effect of the nitro group). This HF addition product was previously observed during our Negishi coupling work²⁴ and arises from LiF formed during the generation of difluorovinylzinc reagent 7. Fluoride is also formed from [the](#page-9-0) trifluoroborate salts (vide infra), and HF addition may therefore be a consequence of their use. However, HF addition to afford adducts such as 14 only occurs when the ring is strongly activated by the nitro group. After 18 h, styrene 10bn and 14 were formed in a 5:1 ratio, with a yield of 55% of 10bn. Shortening the reaction time to 4 h resulted in an improved yield of 75% of 10bn. A similar trend was observed when coupling 9n with 8a. A yield of 39% of 10an was improved to 61% after reducing the reaction time from 18 to 4 h.

The β -hydride pathway to 13 was confirmed by carrying out the coupling of 8b and borate 9n in 1-deuterio-1-cyclohexanol 21 (Scheme 6). 42

Product 10bn and deuterated species 22 were produced in a \sim 3:1 ratio; the ¹⁹F NMR chemical shifts of 22 were distinct from those of 13, and one of the fluorine nuclei showed a splitting pattern consistent with the spin quantum number of deuterium $(I = 1)$ (see the Supporting Information for the spectrum). The same reaction performed in cyclohexanol produced 10bn and reduced species 13 in a ∼4:1 ratio, suggesting strongly that ther[e](#page-8-0) [is](#page-8-0) [no](#page-8-0) [primary](#page-8-0) [kinetic](#page-8-0) isotope effect on the reaction. Neither β -hydride elimination nor reductive elimination is likely to be the rate-determining step for the side reaction. The different proportions of 13 and 22 may arise from the partitioning of 15 between the two ligand exchange steps in Scheme 5.

The scope of the Suzuki coupling was investigated (Table 1). Several results improved significantly upon our recently published Negishi methodology.²⁴ All electron-rich bora[te](#page-2-0)s coupled in good yield with substituents tolerated in all positions of the benzene ring (entries 1−[12](#page-9-0)). Entries 5 and 6 are of particular note as only ∼10% of 10bd could be isolated using our Negishi procedure. Styrenes bearing an electron-withdrawing group generally required the optimized t-BuOH conditions and were generated more smoothly and in higher yield than in the complementary Negishi protocol (entries 15− 26). Aldehydes 10am and 10bm, generated in 67% and 90% yield, are exciting because they could not be generated at all using the Negishi coupling method, and the formyl group is a potential locus for diversity generation. Entries 25 and 26 show formation of the reactive nitro congeners in good yield. Pleasingly, iodides 8a and 8b coupled with heteroaryl borates (entries 27−35) to afford species which were inaccessible via the Negishi protocol. Entry 33 is of interest; borate 9r is a relatively stable species that is effective in coupling reactions, 43 and the pyridine product 10br contains an activated difluoroalkenyl group, so its stability is pleasing. We also tri[ed](#page-9-0) to prepare 2-pyridyl trifluoroborate 23 from 2-bromopyridine^{44,45} and from commercial 24 (treatment with KHF₂ in aqueous methanol) 33,34 but were unsuccessful on both occa[sions](#page-9-0) (Figure 4). A potential alternative coupling partner is Burke's MIDA bo[ronat](#page-9-0)e 25 ,⁴⁶ but we were unable to secure this species via the published procedure.⁴⁷

Figure 4. 2-Pyridyl borate 23 and boronate precursors 24 and 25.

These simple coupling conditions reached their limit of effectiveness when nonaromatic borate coupling partners are introduced. Vinyl borate 9t coupled with both iodides, but the products were very difficult to separate from unreacted starting material and side products because of their similar R_f 's and boiling points. Coupling of alkynyl and alkyl borates has failed to date under these conditions, but efforts to couple sp and $sp³$ borates to 8a and 8b are ongoing in our laboratory.

A precatalyst loading as low as 0.05 mol % of $(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2\text{PdCl}_2$ was tolerated in the coupling of 9g; a yield of 80% of 10bg was obtained. Lowering the catalyst loading to 0.025 mol % resulted in lower (40%) conversion to 10bg.⁴⁸

A larger (5 mmol) scale coupling of iodide 8b under the optimized conditions afforded 1[0bm](#page-9-0) in 82% yield after chromatography, further enhancing the utility of this Suzuki− Miyaura coupling protocol.

Suzuki−Miyaura coupling reactions can use a range of boron reagents; boronic acids are currently most readily available from commercial sources, but the range of available potassium trifluoroborate salts is growing. These reagents are easy to prepare from the boronic acids, and they enjoy the distinct advantages 32 of higher integrity and stability over the boronic acids, which can be supplied as complex mixtures (of monomer[s, d](#page-9-0)imers, and trimers) and can deborylate on storage and in reactions.⁴⁴ Trifluoroborates are structurally unambiguous (making reaction stoichiometries easier to control and reproduce) and [no](#page-9-0)nhygroscopic. They release active boron reagent slowly into solution, 49 which can prevent buildup and decomposition of boronic acids. We therefore prioritized the potassium trifluoroborates [a](#page-9-0)s coupling partners for our relatively valuable iodide species 8a and 8b. However, we have also examined a selection of freshly purchased boronic acids in Suzuki−Miyaura couplings with iodide 8b (Table 2).

Table 2. Scope of Suzuki−Miyaura Coupling of 8a and 8b with Selected Boronic Acids

F	$(HO)_2B$. ODEC $Cs2CO3$ (3 eq)	(1.2 eq) $(Ph_3P)_2PdCl_2 (2 mol%)$ ODEC			
	t-BuOH/H ₂ O (2.7:1 v/v) 90 °C, 2 h 8b	10ba-bg	FG		
entry	boronic acid	product	yield $(\%)$		
1	4-OMe	10ba	83		
2	4 -CF ₃	10bh	92		
3	$3-CN$	10Ы	74		
4	3-formyl	10 _{bm}	60		
5	$3-NO2$	10 _{bn}	75		
6	3-pyridyl	10bo	Ω		
7	6'-Br-3-pyridyl	10 _{bp}	25		
8	4-isoquinolyl	10 _{bq}	5		

Entries 1−5 show that the boronic acids are also effective coupling partners; entry 5 shows that the HF addition side reaction is avoided with this most activated substrate but the method gives a poor yield for entry 7 and fails entirely for entries 6 and 8 (all boronic acids which deborylate relatively easily⁵⁰). It follows that the substrate scope is wider with the potassium trifluoroborates, and we would recommend these as the b[oro](#page-9-0)n reagents, particularly if value has been added through synthesis.

In conclusion, we have generated iodo(difluoroenol) derivatives in useful, synthetic amounts using near-ambient temperature conditions. Higher yields of these species were obtained when contact time between the iodide products and iodine was restricted. General conditions for the Suzuki− Miyaura coupling of these species with potassium aryl- and heteroaryltrifluoroborates and boronic acids at low palladium catalyst loading were identified. These protocols yielded product in moderate to excellent yield, overcoming a limitation in scope described in previous publications and signaling a further advance in the coupling of useful difluorovinyl units.⁵¹

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Methods. All NMR spectra were recorded on a 400 MHz spectrometer. $^1\mathrm{H}$ and $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded using the deuterated solvent as the lock and the residual solvent as the internal reference. 19F NMR spectra were recorded relative to chlorotrifluoromethane as the external standard. The multiplicities of the spectroscopic data are presented in the following manner: $s = singlet$, $d = doublet$, $t = triplet$, $q = quartet$ and $m =$ multiplet. Homocouplings (H−H, F−F) are given in hertz and specified by J; the nuclei involved in heteronuclear couplings are defined with the observed nucleus given first. HRMS measurements were performed using an instrument with an ion trap. GC−MS spectra were obtained on an instrument fitted with a DB5-type column (30 m × 0.25 μm) running a 70−320 °C temperature program, ramp rate 20 °C min⁻¹ with helium carrier gas flow at 1 cm³ min⁻¹. LC−MS measurements (ESI) were recorded on an instrument fitted with a C18 column (50 mm \times 2.1 mm i.d. 1.7 μ m packing diameter) running at 40 °C. Thin-layer chromatography was performed on precoated aluminum-backed silica gel plates, and visualization was achieved using potassium permanganate staining and UV detection at 254 nm. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel $(40-63 \mu m)$ using a semi-automated system. IR spectra were recorded on an ATR IR spectrometer. Melting points are uncorrected. Phase separation was accomplished with proprietary fritted phase separators. Hexane was distilled before chromatography. All other materials were used as

received unless otherwise stated. 1-(N,N-diethylcarbamoyloxy)-2,2,2 trifluoroethane was prepared according to the method of Howarth, 52 and 1-(2′-methoxyethoxymethoxy)-2,2,2-trifluoroethane was prepared accordi[ng](#page-9-0) to the method of Patel.⁵³ Iodide 8b was prepared according to the method of Percy.²⁴ All trifluoroborates were generated from the commercial boronic acids usi[ng](#page-9-0) the methods of Vedejs 33 and Molander³⁴ (see the S[upp](#page-9-0)orting Information for characterization).

2,2-Difluoro-1-(2′-methoxyethoxymethoxy)-1-iodoethen[e](#page-9-0) (8a). n-Butyllit[hiu](#page-9-0)m (26.09 mL, 2.3 M, 60 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of diisopro[pylamine](#page-8-0) [\(8.43](#page-8-0) [mL,](#page-8-0) [60](#page-8-0) [m](#page-8-0)mol) in THF (20 mL) at 0 °C and the reaction stirred for 30 min at this temperature. The freshly prepared LDA was added dropwise via cannula to a solution of acetal 1b (3.76 g, 20 mmol), $ZnCl_2$ (3.0 g, 22 mmol), and 1,3dimethyl-3,4,5,6-tetrahydro-2(1H)-pyrimidinone (10 mL) in THF (40 mL) at 0 °C. The yellow solution was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h and then 1 h further at room temperature. A solution of iodine (5.08 g in 25 mL THF) was then added via syringe (a slight exotherm was observed, ca. 10 °C) and the orange solution stirred for 10 min. The solution was quenched with aqueous ammonium chloride (60 mL) and extracted with diethyl ether $(2 \times 100 \text{ mL})$. The organic extracts were combined, washed with saturated sodium sulfite solution (100 mL), dried (MgSO4) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The brown residue was washed through a pad of silica (10% diethyl ether in hexane), concentrated under reduced pressure, and distilled (Kugelrohr, 70 \degree C/2 mbar) to afford iodide 8a (2.96 g, 50%) as a colorless oil: R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.39; IR $\nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})$ / cm[−]¹ 2937, 2894, 2829, 1774, 1735, 1457, 1285, 1181, 1155, 1101, 1075, 1026, 963, 911, 847; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 4.88 (s, 2 H), 3.85−3.82 (m, 2 H), 3.61−3.58 (m, 2 H), 3.42 (s, 3 H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 152.2 (dd, J_{C−F} 296.4, 278.8), 96.0 (t, J_{C-F} 3.1), 71.0, 68.6, 58.4; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) −89.7 (d, J 49.2, 1 F), −100.6 (d, J 49.2, 1 F); LRMS (CI) m/z 262 $\mathrm{[M{-}CH_{3}O]^{+}}$; GC (98%) t_{R} 8.01 min. Compound decomposed before accurate mass spectrometric measurements could be carried out offsite.

1-(N,N-Diethylcarbamoyloxy)-2,2-difluoro-1-iodoethene (8b). A solution of iodine (2.54 g, 10 mmol in 10 mL of THF) was added dropwise to alkenylzinc reagent 7 (40 mL of a 0.25 M solution in THF) at 15 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (30 mL) and extracted with diethyl ether (2 \times 40 mL). The organic extracts were combined, washed with saturated sodium sulfite solution (40 mL), dried $(MgSO₄)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to a brown oil. Distillation afforded iodide 8b $(2.17 \text{ g}, 71\%)$ as a pale yellow oil: bp 50 °C/2 mmHg (Kugelrohr); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 3.39–3.25 (m, 4 H), 1.19 (br t, J 6.9, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 153.8(dd, J_{C−F} 280.0, 297.7), 151.3, 60.7 (dd, J_{C−F} 58.2, 27.4), 42.9, 42.0, 14.2, 13.2; ¹⁹F NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) −84.9 (d, J 42.3, 1 F), −98.5 (d, J 42.3, 1 F). These data are consistent with those reported by Percy and co-workers.⁵²

General Coupling Procedure 1. 2,2-Difluoro-1-(2′-methoxyethoxymethoxy)[-1](#page-9-0)-(4″-chlorophenyl)ethene (10ag). A mixture of potassium trifluoroborate 9g (89 mg, 0.41 mmol), iodide 8a (100 mg, 0.34 mmol), cesium carbonate (332 mg, 1.0 mmol), and bis(triphenylphosphino)palladium dichloride (4.8 mg, 0.0068 mmol) was taken up in a mixture of toluene (0.75 mL) and H_2O (0.275 mL) . The reaction mixture was stirred at 90 °C for 2 h. The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature and partitioned between DCM (10 mL) and H_2O (10 mL). The organic phase was separated and dried by passing through a hydrophobic frit. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica (10% diethyl ether in hexane) to afford styrene 10ag (68 mg, 72%) as a colorless oil: R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.44; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.44– 7.35 (m, 4 H), 4.87 (s, 2 H), 3.88−3.84 (m, 2 H), 3.59−3.54 (m, 2 H), 3.39 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 155.0 (app t, J_{C-F} 290.3), 133.6, 128.3, 128.0 (br d, J_{C−F} 5.8), 127.5 (dd, J_{C−F} 6.0, 3.4), 114.5 (dd, J_{C-F} 35.3, 19.2), 95.0 (t, J_{C-F} 3.0), 71.1, 68.1, 58.5; ¹⁹F NMR (376

MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) −97.0 (d, J 54.5, 1 F), −105.4 (d, J 54.5, 1 F). These data were consistent with those reported previously.²⁰

General Coupling Procedure 2. 2,2-Difluoro-1-(2′-methoxyethoxymethoxy)-1-(3″-formylphenyl)ethene (10am). A [mix](#page-9-0)ture of potassium trifluoroborate 9m (43 mg, 0.2 mmol), iodide 8a (50 mg, 0.17 mmol), cesium carbonate (166 mg, 0.51 mmol) and bis- (triphenylphosphino)palladium dichloride (2.4 mg, 0.0034 mmol) was taken up in a mixture of degassed (3 x freeze−pump−thaw cycle) isopropanol (0.750 mL) and $H₂O$ (0.275 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at 90 °C for 2 h. The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature and partitioned between DCM (5 mL) and H_2O (5 mL) . The organic phase was separated and dried by passing through a hydrophobic frit. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica (10% diethyl ether in hexane) to afford styrene 10am (31 mg, 67%) as a colorless oil; R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.13; IR $\nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/$ cm[−]¹ 2924, 2893, 2738, 1698, 1293, 1146, 1070, 1021, 1008, 850, 799, 734, 693; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 10.05 (s, 1 H), 8.00 (br s, 1 H), 7.85 (dd, J 7.7, 1.23, 1 H), 7.78 - 7.73 (m, 1 H), 7.58 (t, J 7.7, 1 H), 4.92 (br s, 2 H), 3.9−3.87 (m, 2 H), 3.59−3.55 (m, 2 H), 3.39 (s, 3 H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm) 191.3, 155.3 (app t, J_{C-F} 291.5), 136.2, 131.8 (dd, J_{C-F} 6.3, 3.2), 130.9 (br d, J_{C-F} 6.5), 128.8, 128.7, 127.5 (dd, J_{C−F} 6.0, 3.8), 114.4 (dd, J_{C−F} 34.8, 19.2), 95.3 (t, J_{C-F} 3.0), 71.0, 68.2, 58.5; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) −95.7 (d, J 52.6, 1 F), −104.6 (d, J 52.6, 1 F); HRMS (NSI) m/z calcd for $C_{13}H_{18}F_2NO_4 [M + NH_4]^+$ 290.1204, Found 290.1202; LRMS (CI) m/z 301 [M + C₂H₅]⁺; GC (98%) t_R 12.02 min.

General coupling procedure 3. 2,2-Difluoro-1-(2′-methoxyethoxymethoxy)-1-(4″-methoxyphenyl)ethene (10aa). A mixture of potassium trifluoroborate 9a (27 mg, 0.13 mmol), iodide 8a (31 mg, 0.11 mmol), cesium carbonate (103 mg, 0.32 mmol), and bis(triphenylphosphino)palladium dichloride (1.5 mg, 0.0021 mmol) was taken up in a mixture of tert-butyl alcohol (0.750 mL) and H_2O (0.275 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at 90 °C for 18 h, cooled to room temperature, and partitioned between DCM (5 mL) and H_2O (5 mL). The organic phase was separated and dried by passing through a hydrophobic frit. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica (10% diethyl ether in hexane) to afford styrene 10aa (30 mg, 87%) as a pale yellow oil: R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.30; $^1\rm \bar{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.42−7.38 (m, 2 H), 6.95−6.91 (m, 2 H), 4.88 (s, 2 H), 3.89−3.86 (m, 2 H), 3.84 (s, 3 H), 3.60−3.57 $(m, 2 H)$, 3.40 $(s, 3 H)$; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 159.1, 154.6 (app t, J_{C-F} 287.7), 127.9 (dd, J_{C-F} 5.2, 3.6), 121.5 (br d, J_{C-F} 6.0), 114.8 (dd, J_{C−F} 36.5, 18.6), 113.5, 94.6 (t, J_{C−F} 2.8), 71.1, 67.9, 58.5, 54.8; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) -99.9 (d, J 61.4, 1 F), -108.5 (d, J 61.4, 1 F). These data were consistent with those reported previously.²⁴

2,2-Di fl uoro-1-(2 ′-methoxyethoxymethoxy)-1-(3 ″ methoxyp[hen](#page-9-0)yl)ethene (10ab). Prepared from 8a (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) and 9b (44 mg, 0.20 mmol) using general procedure 3 to afford 10ab (53 mg, 95%) as a pale yellow oil: R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.28; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.31 (t, J 8.0, 1 H), 7.08 (dq, J 7.8, J 1.3, 1 H), 7.04−7.02 (m, 1 H), 6.88 (br dd, J 8.3, J 2.5, 1 H), 4.90 (s, 2 H), 3.90−3.86 (m, 2 H), 3.83 (s, 3 H), 3.60− 3.56 (m, 2 H), 3.40 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 159.2, 155.1 (app t, J_{C-F} 289.9), 130.8 (br d, J_{C-F} 6.1), 129.1, 118.8 (dd, J_{C−F} 5.9, 3.4), 115.0 (dd, J_{C−F} 35.4, 18.4), 113.5, 111.8 (dd, J_{C−F} 5.6, 3.4), 94.9 (t, J_{C−F} 3.1), 71.1, 68.0, 58.5, 54.8; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) –97.6 (d, J 55.6, 1 F), –105.7 (d, J 55.6, 1 F). These data were consistent with those reported previously.²⁴

 $2, 2$ -Difluoro-1- $(2'$ -methoxyethoxymethoxy)-1- $(2'$ methoxyphenyl)ethene (10ac). Prepared from 8a (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) and 9c (44 mg, 0.20 mmol) using general pro[ced](#page-9-0)ure 1 to afford **10ac** (52 mg, 93%) as a pale yellow oil: R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.28; IR $\nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 2977, 2939, 2842, 1724, 1497, 1422, 1264, 1142, 982, 755; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.41− 7.32 (m, 2 H), 6.99 (dt, J 7.5, J 0.8, 1 H), 6.95 (d, J 8.2, 1 H), 4.80 (s, 2 H), 3.87 (s, 3 H), 3.83−3.79 (m, 2 H), 3.57−3.53 (m, 2 H), 3.39 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 157.4, 154.0 (dd, J_{C−F}

289.4, 281.5), 131.2, 130.4, 119.9, 117.5 (br s), 111.7 (dd, J_{C−F} 42.0, 19.8), 110.7, 93.6, 71.1, 67.4, 58.5, 55.2; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) –100.1 (d, J 60.1, 1 F), –107.7 (d, J 60.1, 1 F); HRMS (NSI) m/z calcd for $C_{13}H_{20}F_2NO_4 [M + NH_4]^2$ 292.1355, found 292.1358; LRMS m/z (CI) 275 [M + H]⁺; GC (98%) t_R 11.32 min.

2,2-Difluoro-1-(2′-methoxyethoxymethoxy)-1-(4″-thioanisoyl) ethene (10ad). Prepared from 8a $(50 \text{ mg}, 0.17 \text{ mmol})$ and 9d $(47 \text{ mg},$ 0.20 mmol) using general procedure 3 to afford 10ad (37 mg, 62%) as a pale yellow oil: R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.28; IR $\nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/$ cm[−]¹ 2887, 2820, 1730, 1495, 1262, 1178, 1096, 1077, 980, 945, 824; ¹ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.41–7.37 (m, 2 H), 7.29–7.25 (m, 2 H), 4.89 (s, 2 H), 3.89−3.86 (m, 2 H), 3.60−3.56 (m, 2 H), 3.40 (s, 3 H), 2.51 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 154.9 (app t, JC−^F 289.1), 138.6, 126.6 (dd, JC−^F 5.7, 3.4), 126.0 (br d, JC−^F 6.6), 125.8, 114.8 (dd, J_{C−F} 35.0, 18.5), 94.9 (t, J_{C−F} 3.0), 71.1, 68.0, 58.5, 15.0; ¹⁹F NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) -98.0 (d, J 56.9, 1 F), −106.4 (d, J 56.9, 1 F); HRMS (NSI) m/z calcd for $C_{13}H_{20}F_2NO_3S$ [M + NH₄]⁺ 308.1127, found 308.1128; LRMS m/z (CI) 319 $[M + C_2H_5]^+$; GC (98%) t_R 12.94 min.

2,2-Difluoro-1-(2′-methoxyethoxymethoxy)-1-(2″-methylphenyl) ethene (10ae). Prepared from $8a$ (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) and $9e$ (40 mg, 0.20 mmol) using general procedure 3 to afford 10ae (50 mg, 95%) as a pale yellow oil: $\bar{R_f}$ (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.38; $^1\rm H$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.36−7.19 (m, 4 H), 4.73 (d, J_{H−F} 0.9, 2 H), 3.83−3.79 (m, 2 H), 3.57−3.54 (m, 2 H), 3.40 (s, 3 H), 2.37 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 153.7 (dd, J_{C−F} 289.9, 280.5), 137.5 (d, J_{C−F} 2.7), 130.2 (t, J_{C−F} 2.7), 129.9, 129.0, 127.5, 125.2, 113.8 (dd, J_{C−F} 42.1, 17.7), 92.8, 71.1, 67.3, 58.5, 18.9; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) −102.2 (d, J 63.6, 1 F), −109.2 (d, J 63.6, 1 F). These data were consistent with those reported previously.²

2,2-Difluoro-1-(2′-methoxyethoxymethoxy)-1-(4″-tert-butylphenyl)ethene (10af). Prepared from 8a (50 mg, 0.[17](#page-9-0) mmol) and 9f (49 mg, 0.20 mmol) using general procedure 3 to afford 10af (61 mg, 99%) as a colorless oil: R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.47; IR νmax(film)/cm[−]¹ 2960, 2882, 1722, 1465, 1260, 1154, 1109, 975, 945, 837, 740; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.42 (br s, 4 H), 4.89 (s, 2 H), 3.90−3.87 (m, 2 H), 3.60−3.56 (m, 2 H), 3.40 (s, 3 H), 1.35 (s, 9 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 154.9 (app t, J_{C-F} 288.4), 150.9, 126.4 (br d, J_{C-F} 6.1), 126.0 (dd, J_{C-F} 5.5, 3.5), 125.0, 115.1 (dd, J_{C−F} 35.5, 18.3), 94.8 (t, J_{C−F} 3.0), 71.1, 68.0, 58.5, 34.1, 30.7; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) −98.7 (d, J 58.0, 1 F), -107.1 (d, J 58.0, 1 F); HRMS (NSI) m/z calcd for $C_{16}H_{26}F_2NO_3$ $[M + NH_4]^+$ 318.1875, found 318.1880; LRMS m/z (CI) 301 [M + H]⁺; GC (98%) t_R 12.25 min.

2,2-Di fl uoro-1-(2 ′-methoxyethoxymethoxy)-1-(4 ″ trifluoromethylphenyl)ethene (10ah). Prepared from 8a (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) and 9h (51 mg, 0.20 mmol) using general procedure 1 (90 $^{\circ}$ C, 18 h) to afford 10ah (47 mg, 88%) as a pale yellow oil: R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.31; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.67 (br d, J 8.6, 2 H), 7.61 (br d, J 8.6, 2 H), 4.90 (s, 2 H), 3.89−3.85 (m, 2 H), 3.58−3.54 (m, 2 H), 3.39 (s, 3 H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm) 155.0 (app t, J_{C−F} 291.9), 133.4 (br d, J_{C−F} 6.4), 129.7 (q, $J_{\text{C-F}}$ 32.5), 126.3 (dd, J_{C−F} 6.5, 3.5), 125.0 (q, J_{C−F} 3.0), 123.4 (q, J_{C−F} 271.8), 114.5 (dd, J_{C−F} 34.2, 19.3), 95.4 (t, J_{C−F} 3.0), 71.0, 68.2, 58.5; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) –62.8 (s, 3 F), –94.9 (d, J 50.0, 1 F), −103.6 (d, J 50.0, 1 F). These data were consistent with those reported previously.²⁴

 $2,2$ -Difluoro-1-(2'-methoxyethoxymethoxy)-1-(3"trifluoromethylp[hen](#page-9-0)yl)ethene (10aj). Prepared from 8a (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) and 9j (51 mg, 0.20 mmol) using general procedure 3 to afford 10aj (40 mg, 78%) as a pale yellow oil: R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.17; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.76 (br s, 1 H), 7.68 (br d, J 7.8, 1 H), 7.59 (br d, J 7.8, 1 H), 7.53 (t, J 7.8, 1 H), 4.92 $(s, 2 H)$, 3.90−3.86 (m, 2 H), 3.58−3.55 (m, 2 H), 3.40 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 155.0 (app t, J_{C−F} 291.3), 130.7 (br d, J_{C-F} 6.4), 130.6 (q, J_{C-F} 32.6), 129.3 (br d, J_{C-F} 4.0), 128.6, 124.4 (d, J_{C-F} 3.5), 123.4 (q, J_{C-F} 272.6), 123.1−122.8 (m), 114.4 (dd, J_{C-F} 34.6, 19.3), 95.4 (t, J_{C-F} 3.2), 71.0, 68.2, 58.5; NMR ¹⁹F (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) –62.8 (s, 3 F) –95.7 (d, J 51.9, 1 F), –104.5

(d, J 51.9, 1 F). These data were consistent with those reported previously.²⁴

2,2-Difluoro-1-(2′-methoxyethoxymethoxy)-1-(4″-cyanophenyl)- ethene (1[0a](#page-9-0)k). Prepared from 8a $(50 \text{ mg}, 0.17 \text{ mmol})$ and 9k $(43 \text{ mg},$ 0.20 mmol) using general procedure 3 to afford 10ak (27 mg, 59%) as a pale yellow oil: $\overline{R_f}$ (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.16; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.69 (d, J 8.5, 2 H), 7.60 (d, J 8.5, 2 H), 4.91 $(s, 2 H)$, 3.89–3.86 (m, 2 H), 3.58–3.55 (m, 2 H), 3.40 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 155.6 (app t, J_{C−F} 293.8), 134.6 (br d, J_{C−F} 6.8), 131.8, 126.4 (dd, J_{C−F} 7.0, 3.4), 117.9, 114.4 (dd, J_{C−F} 33.7, 19.5), 111.2, 95.6, 71.0, 68.3, 58.6; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) −93.1 (d, J 45.5, 1 F), −101.5 (d, J 45.5, 1 F). These data were consistent with those reported previously.²⁴

2,2-Difluoro-1-(2′-methoxyethoxymethoxy)-1-(3″-cyanophenyl) ethene (10al). Prepared from 8a $(50 \text{ mg}, 0.17 \text{ mmol})$ $(50 \text{ mg}, 0.17 \text{ mmol})$ $(50 \text{ mg}, 0.17 \text{ mmol})$ and 9k $(43 \text{ mg},$ 0.20 mmol) using general procedure 3 to afford 10ak (25 mg, 55%) as a pale yellow oil: $\bar{R_f}$ (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.17; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.80–7.79 (m, 1 H), 7.74–7.71 (m, 1 H), 7.61 (dt, J 7.8, J 1.3, 1 H), 7.52 (t, J 7.8, 1 H), 4.91 (s, 2 H), 3.90−3.86 (m, 2 H), 3.59–3.55 (m, 2 H), 3.40 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 155.4 (app t, J_{C−F} 292.0), 131.4 (dd, J_{C−F} 6.3, 2.2), 131.1, 130.2 (dd, J_{C-F} 6.8, 3.3), 129.6 (dd, J_{C-F} 6.2, 3.9), 129.0, 117.8, 113.9 (dd, J_{C-F} 34.5, 19.8), 112.6, 95.5 (t, J_{C-F} 3.1), 71.0, 68.3, 58.6; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) −94.5 (d, J 50.2, 1 F), −103.5 (d, J 50.2, 1 F). These data were consistent with those reported previously.²⁴

2,2-Difluoro-1-(2′-methoxyethoxymethoxy)-1-(3″-nitrophenyl)- ethene (1[0a](#page-9-0)n). Prepared from $8a$ (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) and $9n$ (47 mg, 0.20 mmol) using general procedure $3(90 °C, 3 h)$ to afford 10an (30 mg, 61%) as a colorless oil: R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.23; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 8.37 (app t, J 2.0, 1 H), 8.18 (dd, J 8.0, J 2.0, 1 H), 7.82 (m, including app d, J 8.0, 1 H), 7.59 (app t, J 8.0, 1 H), 4.95 (s, 2 H), 3.92−3.88 (m, 2 H), 3.59−3.55 (m, 2 H), 3.39 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 155.5 (app t, J_{C−F} 292.6), 148.1, 131.9 (dd, J_{C−F} 6.5, 2.1), 131.7 (dd, J_{C−F} 6.9, 3.2), 129.1, 122.4, 120.9 (dd, J_{C−F} 6.0, 3.7), 114.1 (dd, J_{C−F} 34.1, 19.5), 95.7 (t, J_{C-F} 3.0), 71.0, 68.3, 58.5; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) −94.1 (d, J 49.0, 1 F), −103.0 (d, J 49.0, 1 F). These data were consistent with those reported previously.²⁴

2,2-Difluoro-1-(2′-methoxy-ethoxymethoxy)-1-(3-pyridyl) ethene (10ao). Prepared from 8a (50 mg, 0.17 [mm](#page-9-0)ol) and 9o (38 mg, 0.20 mmol) using general procedure 3 to afford 10ao (27 mg, 54%) as a yellow oil. R_f (70% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.19; IR $\nu_{\rm max}(\rm{film}) / \rm{cm}^{-1}$ 2934, 2885, 1731, 1567, 1418, 1267, 1153, 1125, 1099, 1077, 978, 937, 849, 812, 711; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 8.73 (br s, 1 H), 8.55 (br d, J 4.8, 1 H), 7.79−7.75 (m, 1 H), 7.32 (dd, J 8.1, 4.8, 1 H), 4.90 (s, 2 H), 3.87−3.84 (m, 2 H), 3.56−3.53 (m, 2 H), 3.38 (s, 3 H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) ^δ (ppm) 155.7 (app t, ^JC−^F 291.8), 149.2, 147.9 (dd, J_{C−F} 6.7, 3.5), 134.0 (dd, J_{C−F} 6.2, 3.3), 126.4 (d, J_{C−F} 6.8), 123.3, 113.6 (dd, J_{C−F} 36.3, 19.7), 95.8 (t, J_{C−F} 3.1), 71.5, 68.7, 59.0; 19F NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm) −95.3 (d, J 52.8, 1 F), −105.0 (d, J 52.8, 1 F); HRMS (NSI) m/z calcd for C₁₁H₁₃F₂NO₃ $[M]^+$ 245.0858, found 245.0854; LRMS m/z (CI) 274 $[M + C_2H_5]^+$; GC (98%) t_R 10.77 min.

2,2-Difluoro-1-(2′-methoxy-ethoxymethoxy)-1-(4-isoquinolyl) ethene (10ap). Prepared from 8a (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) and 9p (48 mg, 0.20 mmol) using general procedure 3 to afford 10ap (19 mg, 32%) as a pale yellow oil. R_f (70% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.22; IR $\nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/$ cm[−]¹ 2932, 2895, 2822, 1752, 1504, 1279, 1193, 1166, 1150, 960, 761, 749; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 9.30 (s, 1 H), 8.60 (s, 1 H), 8.12 (br d, J 8.4, 1 H), 8.04 (br d, J 8.4, 1 H), 7.81−7.77 (m, 1 H), 7.71−7.66 (m, 1 H), 4.80 (d, J_{H−F} 0.7, 2 H), 3.82−3.79 (m, 2 H), 3.53−3.50 (m, 2 H), 3.38 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 155.0 (dd, J_{C−F} 292.1, 283.5), 153.7, 144.5 (t, J_{C−F} 3.1), 133.9 (d, J_{C-F} 3.1), 130.7, 127.9, 127.6, 127.2, 123.8, 119.7, 111.3 (dd, J_{C-F} 40.8, 21.5), 93.6, 71.0, 67.6, 58.5; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) −98.4 (d, J 57.3, 1 F), −107.1 (d, J 57.3, 1 F); HRMS (NSI) m/z calcd for $C_{15}H_{16}F_2NO_3$ [M + H]⁺ 296.1093, found 296.1096; LRMS m/z (CI) 324 [M + C₂H₅]⁺; GC (98%) t_R 13.19 min.

2,2-Difluoro-1-(2′-methoxy-ethoxymethoxy)-1-(6″-bromo-3-pyridyl) ethene (10aq). Prepared from 8a (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) and 9q (54 mg, 0.20 mmol) using general procedure 3 to afford 10aq (21 mg, 32%) as a yellow oil. R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.23; IR $\nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 2930, 2885, 2820, 1724, 1457, 1271, 1155, 1088, 975, 936, 837; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 8.49 (d, J 2.5, 1 H), 7.65 (ddd, J 8.4, J 2.5, J_{H−F} 0.9, 1 H), 7.52 (d, J 8.4, 1 H), 4.92 (s, 2 H), 3.88−3.85 (m, 2 H), 3.58−3.54 (m, 2 H), 3.39 (s, 3 H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 155.3 (app t, J_{C−F} 292.5), 147.7 (dd, J_{C−F} 6.8, 3.5), 141.2, 135.8 (dd, J_{C−F} 6.5, 3.2), 127.5, 125.6 (br d, J_{C−F} 6.6), 112.6 (dd, J_{C-F} 35.8, 20.3), 95.7 (t, J_{C-F} 3.1), 71.1, 68.4, 58.7; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) –94.0 (d, J 50.2, 1 F), –103.6 (d, J 50.2, 1 F); HRMS (NSI) m/z calcd for $C_{11}H_{13}BrF_2NO_3$ [M + H]⁺ 324.0041, found 324.0049; LRMS m/z (CI) 352 $[M + C_2H_5]^+$; GC (98%) t_{R} 12.34 min..

2,2-Difluoro-1-(2′-methoxy-ethoxymethoxy)-1-(3-benzothiophenyl) ethene (10as). Prepared from 8a (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) and 9s (49 mg, 0.20 mmol) using general procedure 3 to afford 10as (35 mg, 57%) as a yellow oil. R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.36; IR $\nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 3103, 2926, 2889, 2822, 1745, 1457, 1429, 1284, 1224, 1114, 1096, 1079, 958, 913, 831, 760, 734; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm) 7.98−7.94 (m, 1 H), 7.91−7.87 (m, 1 H), 7.59 (s, 1 H), 7.46−7.38 (m, 2 H), 4.82 (d, J_{H−F} 0.8, 2 H), 3.86−3.83 (m, 2 H), 3.57−3.53 (m, 2 H), 3.39 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 154.9 (dd, JC−^F 290.5, 284.5), 139.4, 137.0 (d, JC−^F 3.2), 127.6 (t, J_{C−F} 3.9), 124.3, 124.2, 123.9 (dd, J_{C−F} 5.3), 122.7, 122.2, 110.8 (dd, J_{C-F} 39.9, 19.3), 93.9 (t, J_{C-F} 2.6), 71.1, 67.7, 58.6; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm) −99.5 (d, J 57.3, 1 F), −107.2 (d, J 57.3, 1 F); HRMS (NSI) m/z calcd for $C_{14}H_{18}F_2NO_3S$ $[M + H]^+$ 318.0970, found 318.0974; LRMS m/z (CI) 329 [M + C₂H₅]⁺; GC (98%) t_R 12.98 min.

1,1-Difluoro-2-(2′-methoxy-ethoxymethoxy)-1,3-butadiene (10at). Prepared from 8a (549 mg, 1.87 mmol) and 9t (300 mg, 2.24 mmol) using general procedure 3 to afford 10at (97 mg, 27%) as a colorless oil after Kugelrohr distillation (100 mbar, 95 °C). R_f (40%) diethyl ether in hexane) 0.31; IR $\nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 2975, 2935, 2889, 1744, 1455, 1366, 1244, 1192, 1162, 1086, 1045, 1024, 983, 896, 849; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 6.25 (dddd, J 17.3, 11.1, J_{H−F} 3.7, 1.6, 1 H), 5.43 (br d, J 17.3, 1 H), 5.21−5.17 (m, including app d, J 11.2, 1 H), 4.95 (s, 2 H), 3.90−3.87 (m, 2 H), 3.61−3.58 (m, 2 H), 3.42 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 154.7 (app t, J_{C−F} 293.5), 123.9 (d, J_{C−F} 4.7), 115.2 (dd, J_{C−F} 35.8, 17.3), 113.3 (dd, $J_{C−F}$ 11.9, 4.4), 95.7 (t, $J_{C−F}$ 3.0), 71.1, 68.1, 58.5; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) −98.1 (d, J 54.5, 1 F), −106.6 (dd, J 54.5, J_{F−H} 2.7, 1 F); HRMS (APCI) m/z calcd for $C_8H_{16}F_2NO_3$ $[M + NH_4]^+$ 212.1093, found 212.1092; LRMS m/z (CI) 195 $[M + H]^+$; GC $(98%) t_R$ 10.48 min.

1-(N,N-diethylcarbamoyloxy)-2,2-difluoro-1-(4′-methoxyphenyl) ethene (10ba). Prepared from 8b (137 mg, 0.45 mmol) and 9a (107 mg, 0.5 mmol) using general procedure 1 (90 °C for 1 h) to afford 10ba (103 mg, 80%) as a pale yellow oil. R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.32; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.37 (d, J 8.9, 2 H), 6.93 (d, J 8.9, 2 H), 3.84 (s, 3 H), 3.46 (q, J 6.9, 2 H), 3.38 (q, J 6.9, 2 H), 1.27 (t, J 6.9, 3 H), 1.20 (t, J 6.9, 3 H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 158.9, 154.1 (app t, J_{C−F} 288.1), 152.5, 126.6 (dd, J_{C−F} 6.0, 3.3), 122.1 (br d, J_{C−F} 6.3), 113.6, 111.7 (dd, J_{C−F} 38.9, 19.5), 54.8, 42.0, 41.4, 13.7, 12.7; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) −95.9 (d, J 54.5, 1 F), −106.0 (d, J 54.5, 1 F). These data were consistent with those reported previously

1-(N,N-Diethylcarbamoyloxy)-2,2-difluoro-1-(3′-methoxyphenyl) ethene (10bb). Prepared from $8b$ (50 mg, [0](#page-9-0).17 mmol) and $9b$ (42 mg, 0.2 mmol) using general procedure 2 to afford 10bb (33 mg, 59%) as a pale yellow oil: $\bar{R_f}$ (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.35; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.31 (app t, J 8.1, 1 H), 7.06–7.03 (m, 1 H), 6.98 (br s, 1 H), 6.87 (dd, J 8.1, J 2.5, 1 H), 3.47 (q, J 7.1, 2 H), 3.40 (q, J 7.1, 2 H), 1.29 (t, J 7.1, 3 H), 1.20 (t, J 7.1, 3 H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 159.1, 154.5 (app t, J_{C−F} 290.3), 152.4, 131.1 (d, J_{C-F} 6.6), 129.1, 117.4 (dd, J_{C-F} 6.7, 3.5), 113.2, 111.8 (dd, J_{C-F}

27.9, 10.1), 110.8 (dd, L_{α} = 6.7, 3.6), 54.7, 42.1, 41.5, 13.7, 12.8; ¹⁹F 37.8, 19.1), 110.8 (dd, J_{C-F} 6.7, 3.6), 54.7, 42.1, 41.5, 13.7, 12.8; NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) −93.4 (d, J 48.3, 1 F), −103.1 (d, J

48.3, 1 F). These data were consistent with those reported previously. 24

1-(N,N-Diethylcarbamoyloxy)-2,2-difluoro-1-(2′-methoxyphenyl)- ethene (1[0b](#page-9-0)c). Prepared from $8b$ (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) and $9c$ (42 mg, 0.2 mmol) using general procedure 2 to afford 10bc (33 mg, 59%) as a yellow oil: R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.31; IR $\nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$.
2977, 2939, 2842, 1724, 1497, 1437, 1422, 1264, 1142, 982, 755; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.42 (d, J 7.6, 1 H), 7.38–7.33 (m, 1 H), 6.99 (dt, J 7.6, J 0.9, 1 H), 6.94 (d, J 8.4, 1 H), 3.88 (s, 3 H), 3.41−3.29 (m, 4 H), 1.23−1.12 (m, 6 H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm) 156.8 (d, JC−^F 2.4), 154.0 (dd, JC−^F 290.1, 284.4), 152.7, 130.3 (t, $J_{\text{C-F}}$ 3.0), 130.1, 119.9, 118.4 (br d, $J_{\text{C-F}}$ 4.6), 110.7, 108.5 (dd, J_{C−F} 45.1, 20.6), 55.1, 41.9, 41.4, 13.5, 12.8; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm) −96.2 (d, J 49.9, 1 F), −104.6 (d, J 49.9, 1 F); HRMS (NSI) m/z calcd for $C_{14}H_{18}F_2NO_3 [M + H]^+$ 286.1249, found 286.1253; LRMS m/z (CI) 314 $[M + C_2H_5]^+$; GC (98%) t_R 11.70 min.

1-(N,N-Diethylcarbamoyloxy)-2,2-difluoro-1-(4′-thioanisoyl) ethene (10bd). Prepared from 8b (101 mg, 0.33 mmol) and 9d (91 mg, 0.4 mmol) using general procedure 1 to afford 10bd (92 mg, 93%) as a pale yellow oil: R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.21; IR $\nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 3014, 2947, 1724, 1442, 1166, 1116, 1096, 982, 812, 798, 755; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.35 (d, J 8.4, 2 H), 7.27 (d, J 8.4, 2 H), 3.46 (q, J 6.9, 2 H), 3.37 (q, J 6.9, 2 H), 2.50 (s, 3 H), 1.28 (t, J 6.9, 3 H), 1.20 (t, J 6.9, 3 H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 154.3 (app t, J_{C−F} 290.7), 152.4, 138.4, 126.4 (d, J_{C−F} 6.6), 125.9, 125.4 (dd, J_{C−F} 6.4, 3.6), 111.7 (dd, J_{C−F} 38.4, 19.4), 42.1, 41.5, 15.1, 13.7, 12.8; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) –94.1 (d, J 49.9, 1 F), −104.0 (d, J 49.9, 1 F); HRMS (NSI) m/z calcd for $C_{14}H_{18}F_2NO_2S$ [M + H]⁺ 302.1021 found, 302.1025; LRMS m/z (CI) 302 $[M + H]^+$; GC (98%) t_R 13.42 min.

1-(N,N-Diethylcarbamoyloxy)-2,2-difluoro-1-(2′-methylphenyl) ethene (10be). Prepared from $8b$ (101 mg, 0.33 mmol) and $9e$ (78 mg, 0.4 mmol) using general procedure 1 to afford 10be (83 mg, 93%) as a pale yellow oil: R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.50; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.42 (br d, J 7.5, 1 H), 7.31–7.19 (m, 3 H), 3.41−3.25 (m, 4 H), 1.23−1.09 (m, 6 H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 154.1 (dd, J_{C−F} 290.0, 282.6), 153.0, 137.8, 130.4, 130.1, 129.3, 129.1 (d, J_{C-F} 4.6), 125.7, 111.1 (dd, J_{C-F} 46.1, 18.8), 42.4, 41.8, 19.5, 14.1, 13.2; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) −97.4 (d, J 53.9, 1 F), −106.2 (d, J 53.9, 1 F). These data were consistent with those reported previously.²⁴

1-(N,N-Diethylcarbamoyloxy)-2,2-difluoro-1-(4′-tertbutylphenyl)ethene (10bf). Prepared fro[m](#page-9-0) 8b (101 mg, 0.33 mmol) and 9f (95 mg, 0.4 mmol) using general procedure 1 to afford 10bf (68 mg, 66%) as a colorless oil: R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.25; IR $\nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 2965, 2872, 1727, 1474, 1420, 1265, 1146, 982, 948, 927, 844, 825, 784, 754; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.43 (d, J 8.5, 2 H), 7.37 (d, J 8.5, 2 H), 3.47 (q, J 7.1, 2 H), 3.39 (q, J 7.1, 2 H), 1.34 (s, 9 H), 1.29 (t, J 6.9, 3 H), 1.21 (t, J 6.9, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 154.4 (app t, J_{C−F} 289.3), 152.5, 150.7, 126.8 (d, J_{C−F} 6.7), 125.0, 124.7 (dd, J_{C−F} 6.4, 3.4), 111.9 (dd, JC−^F 38.6, 19.1), 42.1, 41.5, 34.1, 30.7, 13.6, 12.8; 19F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) −97.4 (d, J 53.9, 1 F), −106.2 (d, J 53.9, 1 F); HRMS (NSI) m/z calcd for $C_{17}H_{24}F_2NO_2 [M + H]^+$ 312.1770, found: 312.1771; LRMS m/z (CI) 312 [M + H]⁺; GC (98%) t_R 12.70 min.

1-(N,N-Diethylcarbamoyloxy)-2,2-difluoro-1-(4′-chlorophenyl) ethene (10bg). Prepared from 8b (101 mg, 0.33 mmol) and 9g (87 mg, 0.4 mmol) using general procedure 1 to afford 10bg (84 mg, 88%) as a colorless oil: R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.39; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.37 (s, 4 H), 3.46 (q, J 7.0, 2 H), 3.38 (q, J 7.0, 2 H), 1.34 (s, 9 H), 1.28 (t, J 7.1, 3 H), 1.20 (t, J 7.1, 3 H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 154.4 (app t, J_{C−F} 291.1), 152.3, 133.5, 128.3 (d, J_{C-F} 5.5), 128.3, 126.3 (dd, J_{C-F} 6.7, 3.5), 111.3 (dd, $J_{C−F}$ 38.7, 20.1), 42.2, 41.5, 13.7, 12.8; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) −93.1 (d, J 47.7, 1 F), −103.1 (d, J 47.7, 1 F). These data were consistent with those reported previously.²

1-(N,N-Diethylcarbamoyloxy)-2,2-di fl uoro-1-(4 ′ trifluoromethylphenyl)ethene (10bh). P[rep](#page-9-0)ared from 8b (101 mg, 0.33 mmol) and 9h (100 mg, 0.4 mmol) using general procedure 1

(90 °C for 18 h) to afford 10bh (94 mg, 88%) as a colorless oil: R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.43; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\dot{\delta}$ (ppm) 7.66 (d, J 8.4, 2 H), 7.55 (d, J 8.4, 2 H), 3.49 (q, J 7.2, 2 H), 3.38 (q, J 7.2, 2 H), 1.30 (t, J 7.1, 3 H), 1.21 (t, J 7.1, 3 H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 154.8 (app t, J_{C−F} 292.6), 152.2, 133.5 (br d, J_{C-F} 6.9), 129.5 (q, J_{C-F} 32.5), 125.0−125.2 (m, includes signals for 2(Ar)C−H), 123.4 (q, J_{C-F} 272.6), 111.2 (dd, J_{C-F} 37.8, 19.9), 42.2, 41.6, 13.7, 12.7; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) −62.9 (s, 3 F), −91.1 (d, J 43.1, 1 F), −101.3 (d, J 43.1, 1 F). These data were consistent with those reported previously.²⁴

1-(N, N-Diethylcarbamoyloxy)-2, 2-difluoro-1-(3'trifluoromethylphenyl)ethene (10bj). Pre[pa](#page-9-0)red from 8b (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) and 9j (50 mg, 0.2 mmol) using general procedure 2 to afford 10bj (32 mg, 60%) as a pale yellow oil: R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.40; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.68 (br s, 1 H), 7.63 (br d, J 7.7, 1 H), 7.58 (br d, J 7.7, 1 H), 7.53 (t, J 7.7, 1 H), 3.49 $(q, J 7.2, 2 H)$, 3.39 $(q, J 7.2, 2 H)$, 1.30 $(t, J 7.1, 3 H)$, 1.21 $(t, J 7.1, 3 H)$ H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 154.7 (app t, J_{C−F} 291.0), 152.1, 130.8 (br d, J_{C−F} 6.9), 130.6 (q, J_{C−F} 32.6), 128.6, 128.1 (dd, JC−^F 7.0, 3.0), 124.3 (d, JC−^F 3.14), 123.2 (q, JC−^F 271.2), 121.5−121.8 (m), 111.1 (dd, J_{C−F} 37.3, 19.5), 42.2, 41.6, 13.6, 12.7; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) –63.9 (s, 3 F), –91.7 (d, J 45.3, 1 F), –102.1 (d, J 45.3, 1 F). These data were consistent with those reported previously.

1-(N,N-Diethylcarbamoyloxy)-2,2-difluoro-1-(4′-cyanophenyl)- ethene (1[0b](#page-9-0)k). Prepared from $8b$ (50 mg, 0.33 mmol) and $9k$ (101) mg, 0.4 mmol) using general procedure 1 (90 °C for 18 h) to afford 10bk (57 mg, 62%) as a pale yellow solid: R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.18; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.69 (br d, J 8.3 2 H), 7.53 (br d, J 8.3 2 H), 3.48 (q, J 7.2, 2 H), 3.38 (q, J 7.2, 2 H), 1.29 (t, J 7.2, 3 H), 1.20 (t, J 7.2, 3 H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm) 154.9 (app t, J_{C−F} 294.0), 152.0, 134.5 (br d, J_{C−F} 7.4), 131.8, 125.2 (dd, J_{C−F} 7.4, 3.7), 117.9, 111.2 (dd, J_{C−F} 37.4, 20.3), 111.1, 42.3, 41.6, 13.7, 12.7; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) –89.3 (d, J 39.1, 1 F), −99.3 (d, J 39.1, 1 F). These data were consistent with those reported previously.²⁴

1-(N,N-Diethylcarbamoyloxy)-2,2-difluoro-1-(3′-cyanophenyl) ethene (10bl). Prepared f[rom](#page-9-0) $8b$ (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) and $9l$ (41 mg, 0.2 mmol) using general procedure 2 to afford 10bl (28 mg, 61%) as a yellow oil: $R_{\textit{f}}$ (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.15; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.69 (br d, J 1.1, 1 H), 7.67–7.66 (m, 1 H), 7.60 (app br d, J 7.7, 1 H), 7.52 (app t, J 7.7, 1 H), 3.47 (q, J 7.1, 2 H), 3.40 $(q, J 7.1, 2 H)$, 1.29 (t, J 7.1, 3 H), 1.21 (t, J 7.1, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100) MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 154.7 (app t, J_{C−F} 291.7), 152.1, 131.4 (br d, J_{C-F} 7.0), 131.0, 129.1−129.0 (m), 128.4 (dd, J_{C-F} 6.3, 4.0), 117.9, 112.6, 110.6 (dd, J_{C−F} 37.8, 20.2), 42.3, 41.6, 13.7, 12.7; ¹⁹F NMR $(376 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta \text{ (ppm)} - 90.7 \text{ (d, J 42.6, 1 F)}, -101.2 \text{ (d, J 42.6,$ 1 F). These data were consistent with those reported previously.²⁴

1-(N,N-Diethylcarbamoyloxy)-2,2-difluoro-1-(3′-formylphenyl)- ethene [\(](#page-9-0)10bm). Prepared from $8b$ (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) and $9m$ (42 mg, 0.2 mmol) using general procedure 2 to afford 10bm (42 mg, 90%) as a pale yellow oil: R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.17; IR $\nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 2979, 2941, 1728, 1689, 1424, 1267, 1187, 1142, 1012, 796, 753, 689; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 10.04 (s, 1 H), 7.93 (br s, 1 H), 7.83 (app br d, J 7.7, 1 H), 7.72−7.69 (m, 1 H), 7.58 (app t, J 7.7, 1 H), 3.49 (q, J 7.1, 2 H), 3.38 (q, J 7.1, 2 H), 1.30 (t, J 7.0, 3 H), 1.20 (t, J 7.0, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 191.2, 154.7 (app t, J_{C-F} 292.4), 152.2, 136.2, 131.1 (d, J_{C-F} 6.7), 130.6 (dd, J_{C−F} 7.0, 3.4), 128.9, 128.7, 126.1 (dd, J_{C−F} 6.3, 3.9), 111.2 (dd, J_{C−F} 38.1, 19.8), 42.2, 41.6, 13.7, 12.7; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) −91.8 (d, J 45.3, 1 F), −102.2 (d, J 45.3, 1 F); HRMS (NSI) m/z calcd for $C_{14}H_{19}F_2N_2O_3$ [M + NH₄]⁺ 301.1358, found 301.1360; LRMS m/z (CI) 284 [M + H]⁺; GC (98%) t_R 12.40 min.

1-(N,N-Diethylcarbamoyloxy)-2,2-difluoro-1-(3′-nitrophenyl) ethene (10bn). Prepared from 8b (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) and 9n (45 mg, 0.2 mmol) using general procedure 3 (90 $^{\circ}$ C for 4 h) to afford 10bn (37 mg, 75%) as a pale yellow oil: R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.31; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 8.29 (t, J 2.0, 1 H), 8.18 (dd, J 8.2, J 2.0, 1 H), 7.80−7.77 (m, 1 H), 7.60 (t, J 8.2, 1 H), 3.51 (q,

J 7.1, 2 H), 3.39 (q, J 7.1, 2 H), 1.32 (t, J 7.2, 3 H), 1.22 (t, J 7.2, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 154.9 (app t, J_{C−F} 293.2), 152.0, 148.0, 131.9 (br d, J_{C−F} 7.3), 130.6 (dd, J_{C−F} 8.1, 3.5), 129.2, 122.3, 119.8 (dd, J_{C−F} 5.9, 4.4), 110.7 (dd, J_{C−F} 37.5, 20.5), 42.3, 41.6, 13.7, 12.7; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) −90.3 (d, J 42.2, 1 F), −100.7 (d, J 42.2, 1 F). These data were consistent with those reported previously.²⁴

1-(N,N-Diethylcarbamoyloxy)-2,2-difluoro-1-(3-pyridyl)ethene (10bo). Prepared fr[om](#page-9-0) 8b (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) and 9o (37 mg, 0.2 mmol) using general procedure 2 (90 °C for 3 h) to afford 10bo (26 mg, 61%) as a colorless oil: R_f (60% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.12; IR $\nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 2980, 2939, 1737, 1411, 1275, 1150, 82, 706; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 8.67 (d, J 2.2, 1 H), 8.53 (dd, J 4.8, 1.4, 1 H), 7.75−7.70 (m, 1 H), 7.31 (ddd, J 8.1, J 4.8, 0.7, 1 H), 3.44 (q, J 6.9, 2 H), 3.35 (q, J 7.0, 2 H), 1.26 (t, J 7.1, 3 H), 1.18 (t, J 7.1, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 154.6 (app t, J_{C−F} 292.3), 152.1, 148.6, 146.3 (dd, J_{C−F} 6.7, 3.8), 132.4 (dd, J_{C−F} 7.1, 3.5), 126.1 (br d, J_{C-F} 6.9), 122.8, 109.8 (dd, J_{C-F} 39.7, 20.1), 42.2, 41.6, 13.7, 12.7; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) –91.5 (d, J 45.4, 1 F), -102.5 (d, J 45.4, 1 F); HRMS (NSI) m/z calcd for $C_{12}H_{15}F_{2}N_{2}O_{2}$ $[M + H]$ ⁺ 257.1096, found 257.1099; LRMS m/z (CI) 257 $[M + H]$ ⁺; GC (98%) t_{R} 11.28 min.

1-(N,N-Diethylcarbamoyloxy)-2,2-difluoro-1-(4-isoquinolyl) ethene (10bp). Prepared from 8b (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) and 9p (46 mg, 0.2 mmol) using general procedure 2 to afford 10bp (22 mg, 44%) as a colorless oil: R_f (100% diethyl ether) 0.65; IR $\nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 2979, 2938, 1724, 1422, 1282, 1187, 1142, 1113, 958, 786, 755; ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ (ppm) 9.29 (s, 1 H), 8.70 (s, 1 H), 8.15 (br d, J 8.5, 1 H), 8.04 (d, J 8.2, 1 H), 7.83−7.78 (m, 1 H), 7.70−7.64 (m, 1 H), 3.38 (q, J 6.9, 2 H), 3.29 (q, J 7.0, 2 H), 1.18 (t, J 7.0, 3 H), 1.12 (t, J 7.0, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 154.6 (dd, J_{C−F} 293.7, 285.1), 153.6, 152.3, 144.3 (t, J_{C−F} 3.0), 133.4, 130.7, 127.8, 127.6, 127.1, 123.6, 120.7 (d, J_{C-F} 4.7), 107.8 (dd, J_{C-F} 45.5, 20.2), 42.0, 41.5, 13.6, 12.7; 19F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm) −93.9 (d, J 49.1, 1 F), −104.2 (d, J 49.1, 1 F); HRMS (NSI) m/z calcd for $C_{16}H_{17}F_2N_2O_2$ [M + H]⁺ 307.1253, found 307.1255; LRMS m/z (CI) 307 $[M + H]^+$; GC (98%) t_R 13.65 min.

1-(N,N-Diethylcarbamoyloxy)-2,2-difluoro-1-(6′-bromo-3-pyridyl) ethene (10bq). Prepared from 8b (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) and 9q (47 mg, 0.17 mmol) using general procedure 3 (90 $^{\circ}$ C for 3 h) to afford 10bq (29 mg, 52%) as a pale yellow oil. R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.26; IR $\nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 2979, 2941, 1731, 1461, 1424, 1280, 1148, 1090, 980; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 8.43 (d, J 2.5, 1 H), 7.60 (ddd, J 8.4, J 2.5, 0.7, 1 H), 7.52 (d, J 8.4, 1 H), 3.45 (q, J 7.2, 2 H), 3.37 (q, J 7.2, 2 H), 1.27 (t, J 7.1, 3 H), 1.20 (t, J 7.1, 3 H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 154.6 (dd, J_{C−F} 293.1, 291.8), 152.0 (t, J_{C-F} 2.9), 146.5 (dd, J_{C-F} 6.5, 4.0), 140.9, 134.8 (dd, J_{C-F} 7.3, 3.3), 127.4, 125.6 (d, J_{C-F} 6.8), 109.3 (dd, J_{C-F} 39.4, 21.5), 42.3, 41.6, 13.7, 12.7; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) –90.4 (d, J 43.5, 1 F), −101.3 (d, J 43.5, 1 F); HRMS (NSI) m/z calcd for $C_{12}H_{14}BrF_2N_2O_2$ [M + H]⁺ 335.0201, found 335.0206; LRMS m/z (CI) 335 $[M + H]^+$; GC (98%) t_R 12.77 min.

1-(N,N-Diethylcarbamoyloxy)-2,2-difluoro-1-(6′-methoxy-2 *pyridyl)ethene (10br)*. Prepared from $8b$ (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) and $9r$ (43 mg, 0.20 mmol) using general procedure 1 to afford 10br (33 mg, 70%) as a yellow oil: R_f (20% diethyl ether in cyclohexane) 0.1; IR $\nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 2979, 2341, 1728, 1578, 1466, 1262, 1153, 1047, 800; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.56 (app t, J 8.1, 1 H), 6.98 (d, J 7.6, 1 H), 6.63 (d, J 8.3, 1 H), 3.89 (s, 3 H), 3.48 (q, J 7.1 2 H), 3.43 (q, J 7.1 2 H), 1.29 (t, J 7.1, 3 H) 1.19 (t, J 7.1, 3 H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 163.4, 156.5 (dd, J_{C−F} 296, 292), 153.1 (t, J_{C−F} 2.4), 146.8 (dd, J_{C-F} 8.8, 3.3), 138.8, 113.4 (dd, J_{C-F} 8.9, 4.4), 112.6 (dd, J_{C-F} 34.3, 18.8), 109.9 (t, J_{C-F} 2.2), 53.1, 42.6, 42.1, 14.2, 13.3; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) −90.6 (d, J 34.4, 1 F), −97.9 (d, J 34.4, 1 F); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{13}H_{17}F_2N_2O_3 [M + H]^+$ 287.1202, found 287.1196; LRMS m/z (ESI) 287 $[M + H]^+$; LC (98%) $t_{\rm R}$ 1.21 min.

1-(N, N-Diethylcarbamoyloxy)-2, 2-difluoro-1-(3benzothiophenyl)ethene (10bs). Prepared from 8b (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) and 9s (47 mg, 0.2 mmol) using general procedure 1 to afford 10bs (35 mg, 57%) as a pale brown oil: R_f (40% diethyl ether in hexane) 0.52; IR $\nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})$ /cm⁻¹ 2977, 2938, 2878, 1726, 1422, 1295, 1215, 1154, 1107, 760, 734; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.95−7.87 (m, 2 H), 7.67 (s, 1 H), 7.47−7.38 (m, 2 H), 3.41 (q, J 7.0, 2 H), 3.33 (q, J 7.0, 2 H), 1.22 (t, J 7.0, 3 H), 1.16 (t, J 7.0, 3 H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 154.6 (dd, J_{C−F} 292.2, 284.5), 152.5, 139.3, 136.7 (d, J_{C−F} 2.7), 127.5 (t, J_{C−F} 3.7), 124.8 (d, J_{C−F} 4.9), 124.2, 124.1, 122.3, 122.2, 107.1 (dd, J_{C−F} 44.1, 21.2), 42.0, 41.4, 13.6, 12.8; 19F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl3) δ (ppm) −94.9 (d, J 49.4, 1 F), −104.3 (d, J 49.4, 1 F); HRMS (NSI) m/z calcd for $C_{15}H_{16}F_2NO_2S$ $[M + H]^+$ 312.0864, found 312.0862; LRMS m/z (CI) 312 $[M + H]^+$; GC (98%) t_R 13.37 min.

1,1-Difluoro-2-(N,N-diethylcarbamoyloxy)-1,3-butadiene (10bt). Prepared from 8b (569 mg, 1.87 mmol) and 9u (300 mg, 2.24 mmol) using general procedure 3 to afford 10bu (113 mg, 30%) as a colorless oil after Kugelrohr distillation (90 °C/100 mbar): R_f (40%) diethyl ether in hexane) 0.46; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 6.36 (dddd, J 17.2, 11.2, JH−^F 3.4, 1.6, 1 H), 5.23 (d, J 17.2, 1 H), 5.19−5.15 (m, including app d, J 11.2, 1 H), 3.44−3.32 (m, 4 H), 1.15−1.26 (m, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 154.3 (dd, J_{C−F} 295.0, 291.0), 152.4, 124.2 (d, J_{C−F} 4.6), 113.2 (dd, J_{C−F} 11.9, 4.3), 112.4 (dd, J_{C−F} 40.6, 18.2), 42.6, 41.9, 14.1, 13.2; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) –95.6 (d, J 40.6, 1 F), –105.6 (d, J 40.6, 1 F). These data were consistent with those reported previously.¹⁰

1-(N,N-Diethylcarbamoyloxy)-2,2-difluoro-1-deuterioethene (22). n-Butyllithium (720 μ L of a 2 M solution in hexanes) [wa](#page-9-0)s added dropwise over 2 min to a solution of 1-(N,N-diethylcarbamoyloxy)- 2,2-difluoro-1-(tributylstannyl)ethene (610 mg, 1.30 mmol) in THF (6 mL) with stirring at −78 °C. The reaction solution turned pale yellow after butyllithium addition and was stirred for 45 min at −78 °C. Deuterated methanol $(d_4, 53 \mu L, 1.30 \text{ mmol})$ was added in one portion, and the reaction solution was stirred for a further 1 h at −78 °C and 1 h at room temperature (18 °C). Careful Kugelrohr distillation of the reaction mixture separated the volatile components. Hexane and THF were removed first (18 °C/50 mbar). Deuterated species 22 was then isolated (75 °C/25 mbar) as a colorless oil (97 mg, 41%): IR $\nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 2982, 2941, 2883, 1726, 1424, 1293, 1275, 1178, 1100, 842, 740, 635; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 3.38−3.29 (m, 4 H), 1.17 (t, J 7.1, 6 H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 154.6 (dd, J_{C−F} 287.9, 274.7), 151.8, 101.2–99.9 (m, including $J_{\text{C–F}}$ and $J_{\text{C-D}}$) 42.0, 41.3, 13.4, 12.7; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) −97.5 (dt, J 72.8, J_F_D 2.3, 1 F), −117.8 (d, J 72.8, 1 F); HRMS (APCI) m/z calcd for $C_7H_{11}DF_2NO_2$ [M + NH₄]⁺ 198.1159, found 198.1159; LRMS m/z (CI) 181 $[M + H]^+$; GC $(98%) t_R 9.42 \text{ min.}$

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

8 Supporting Information

Experimental procedure and characterization for potassium trifluoroborates and ^{1}H , ^{19}F , and ^{13}C NMR spectra and GC-MS chromatograms for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: jonathan.percy@strath.ac.uk.

■ ACK[NOWLEDGMENTS](mailto:jonathan.percy@strath.ac.uk)

We thank the EPSRC Pharma Synthesis Studentship Scheme and GSK (studentship for P.G.W.), the Carnegie Trust (undergraduate vacation scholarship for A.W.M.), the EPSRC National Mass Spectrometry Service Centre, Swansea, for accurate mass measurements, and Dr. Judith Huggan and Dr. David Breen (Strathclyde Institute for Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Sciences) for a gift of boronic acids.

The Journal of Organic Chemistry Featured Article Chemistry Featured Article Chemistry Featured Article Chemistry

■ REFERENCES

(1) Fier, P. S.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 5524.

(2) Fujiwara, Y.; Dixon, J. A.; Rodriguez, R. A.; Baxter, R. D.; Dixon, D. D.; Collins, M. R.; Blackmond, D. G.; Baran, P. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc.

2012, 134, 1494.

(3) Han, C. H.; Kim, E. H.; Colby, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 5802.

(4) For a review of building blocks, see: Percy, J. M. Top. Curr. Chem. 1997, 193, 131.

(5) Fuchibe, K.; Jyono, H.; Fujiwara, M.; Kudo, T.; Yokota, M.; Ichikawa, J. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 12175.

(6) Oh, K.; Fuchibe, K.; Ichikawa, J. Synthesis 2011, 881.

(7) Harrington, P. E.; Li, L.; Tius, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 4025.

(8) Chatterjee, A.; Ramachandran, P. V. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1195.

(9) Ramachandran, P. V.; Tafelska-Kaczmarek, A.; Sakavuyi, K. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4044.

(10) Cox, L. R.; DeBoos, G. A.; Fullbrook, J. J.; Percy, J. M.; Spencer, N. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2005, 16, 347.

(11) DeBoos, G. A.; Fullbrook, J. J.; Percy, J. M. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 2859.

(12) DeBoos, G. A.; Fullbrook, J. J.; Owton, M. W.; Percy, J. M.; Thomas, A. C. Synlett 2000, 963.

(13) Crowley, P. J.; Fawcett, J.; Griffith, G. A.; Moralee, A. C.; Percy, J. M.; Salafia, V. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 3297.

(14) Fawcett, J.; Moralee, A. C.; Percy, J. M.; Salafia, V.; Vincent, M. A.; Hillier, I. H. Chem. Commun. 2004, 1062.

(15) Griffith, G. A.; Hillier, I. H.; Moralee, A. C.; Percy, J. M.; Roig, R.; Vincent, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 13130.

(16) Audouard, C.; Fawcett, J.; Griffith, G. A.; Kérourédan, A. M.; Percy, J. M.; Yang, H. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 4269.

(17) Kérourédan, A. M.; Percy, J. M.; Rinaudo, G.; Singh, K. Synthesis 2008, 3903.

(18) Anderl, T.; Audouard, C.; Miah, A.; Percy, J. M.; Rinaudo, G.; Singh, K. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2009, 7, 5200.

(19) Miles, J. A. L.; Mitchell, L.; Percy, J. M.; Singh, K.; Uneyama, E. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 1575.

(20) Mitchell, L.; Parkinson, J. A.; Percy, J. M.; Singh, K. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 2389.

(21) Ashworth, I. W.; Miles, J. A. L.; Nelson, D. J.; Percy, J. M.; Singh, K. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 9637.

(22) Kyne, S. H.; Miles, J. A. L.; Percy, J. M.; Singh, K. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 991.

(23) Katz, J. D.; Lapointe, B. T.; Dinsmore, C. J. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 8866.

(24) Kyne, S. H.; Percy, J. M.; Pullin, R. D. C.; Redmond, J. M.; Wilson, P. G. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 8328.

(25) Anilkumar, R.; Burton, D. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 2731.

(26) Raghavanpillai, A.; Burton, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 7083.

(27) Howarth, J. A.; Owton, W. M.; Percy, J. M.; Rock, M. H. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 10289.

(28) Molander, G. A.; Ito, T. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 393.

(29) Molander, G. A.; Rivero, M. R. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 107.

(30) Molander, G. A.; Katona, B. W.; Machrouhi, F. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 8416.

(31) Molander, G. A.; Fumagalli, T. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 5743.

(32) Molander, G. A.; Ellis, N. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 275.

(33) Vedejs, E.; Chapman, R. W.; Fields, S. C.; Lin, S.; Schrimpf, M, R. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 3020.

(34) Oliveira, R. A.; Silva, R. O.; Molander, G. A.; Menezes, P. H. Magn. Reson. Chem. 2009, 47, 873.

(35) Henderson, R. K.; Jiménez-González, C.; Constable, D. J. C.;

Alston, S. R.; Inglis, G. G. A.; Fisher, G.; Sherwood, J.; Binks, S. P.; Curzons, A. D. Green Chem. 2011, 13, 854.

(36) Butters, M.; Harvey, J. N.; Jover, J.; Lennox, A. J. J.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Murray, P. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 5156.

(37) Jover, J.; Fey, N.; Purdie, M.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Harvey, J. N. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2010, 324, 39.

(38) Jutand has provided kinetic evidence for this stepwise sequence in the reaction of thiols at Pd(II) centers: Moreau, X.; Campagne, J. M.; Meyer, G.; Jutand, A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 3749.

(39) This type of β-hydride elimination across alcohol C−O bonds is important in the homogeneous oxidation of alcohols; for a review, see: Sigman, M. S.; Jensen, D, R. Acc. Chem. Res. 2006, 39, 221.

(40) Zask, A.; Helquist, P. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 1619.

(41) Torraca, K. E.; Huang, X. H.; Parrish, C. A.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 10770.

(42) Hashimoto, T.; Prakash, G. K. S.; Shih, J. G.; Olah, G. A. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 931.

(43) Ren, W.; Li, J.; Zou, D.; Wu, Y.; Wu, Y. Tetrahedron. 2012, 68, 1351.

(44) 2-Pyridylboronic acid derivatives are known to be unstable and undergo facile deborylation; see: Molander, G. A.; Biolatto, B. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 4302.

(45) For a review of the utility of heteroaryl boronic acids, see: Tyrrell, E.; Brookes, P. Synthesis 2003, 469.

(46) Dick, G. R.; Woerly, E. M.; Burke, M. D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 2667.

(47) Dick, G. R.; Knapp, D. M.; Gillis, E. P.; Burke, M. D. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2314.

(48) Higher catalyst loadings may be required for other bromides. For example, borate 9h needed 0.1 mol % for full conversion to 10bh while pyridyl borate 9o required 1.0 mol % for complete conversion to 10bo.

(49) Lennox, A. J. J.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 7431.

(50) Molander, G. A.; Canturk, B.; Kennedy, L. E. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 973.

(51) For recent important methodology based on a borate derived from 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane (HFC-134a), see: Duric, S.; Schmidt, B. M.; Ninneman, N. M.; Lentz, D.; Tzsuchucke, C. C. Chem.-Eur. J. 2012, 18, 437.

(52) Howarth, J. A.; Owton, W. M.; Percy, J. M.; Rock, M. H. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 10289.

(53) Patel, S. T.; Percy, J. M.; Wilkes, R. D. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 9201.